UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

| Lee Jones, |) | CASE NO. 1:23 CV 1383 |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| Petitioner, |) | JUDGE PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN |
| vs. |) | |
| Douglas Fender, Warden, |) | Memorandum of Opinion and Order |
| Respondent. |) | |

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate

Judge James E. Grimes, Jr. (Doc. 10) which recommends dismissal of the Petition for Writ of

Habeas Corpus pending before the Court. No objections were filed. For the following reasons,
the Report and Recommendation is ACCEPTED.

Introduction

Petitioner, Lee Jones, commenced this action with the filing of a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The Magistrate Judge issued his Report and Recommendation recommending that the Petition be dismissed as untimely. Petitioner has failed

to file objections to the Report and Recommendation.

Standard of Review

Rule 8(b) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts

provides, "The judge must determine de novo any proposed finding or recommendation to which

objection is made. The judge may accept, reject, or modify any proposed finding or

recommendation." When no objections have been filed, this Court need only satisfy itself that

there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation. See

Advisory Committee Notes 1983 Addition to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72.

Conclusion

The Magistrate Judge determined that the Petition was time-barred by the applicable

statute of limitations as it was filed beyond the due date even after accepting that petitioner's

motion to withdraw his guilty plea tolled the statute of limitations. This Court fully agrees with

the reasoning and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge and, having found no clear error,

completely adopts his factual and legal conclusions as its own and incorporates them herein by

reference. Accordingly, for the reasons set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is dismissed.

Furthermore, the Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from

this decision could not be taken in good faith, and that there is no basis upon which to issue a

certificate of appealability. 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c); Fed. R.App.P. 22(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Patricia A. Gaughan

PATRICIA A. GAUGHAN

United States District Judge

Dated: 7/30/24

2